Protocol

An eHealth Intervention for Promoting COVID-19 Knowledge and Protective Behaviors and Reducing Pandemic Distress Among Sexual and Gender Minorities: Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial (#SafeHandsSafeHearts)

Peter A Newman¹, MSW, PhD; Venkatesan Chakrapani², MD, PhD; Charmaine Williams¹, MSW, PhD; Notisha Massaquoi³, MSW, PhD; Suchon Tepjan⁴, MPH; Surachet Roungprakhon⁵, MEd; Pakorn Akkakanjanasupar⁴, MEd, PhD; Carmen Logie¹, MSW, PhD; Shruta Rawat⁶, MSc

Corresponding Author:

Peter A Newman, MSW, PhD Factor-Inwentash Faculty of Social Work University of Toronto 246 Bloor Street West Toronto, ON, M5S 1V4 Canada

Phone: 1 416 946 8611 Fax: 1 416 978 7072

Email: p.newman@utoronto.ca

Abstract

Background: Existing data on COVID-19 disparities among vulnerable populations portend excess risk for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender (LGBT) and other persons outside of heteronormative and cisgender identities (ie, LGBT+). Owing to adverse social determinants of health, including pervasive HIV and sexual stigma, harassment, violence, barriers in access to health care, and existing health and mental health disparities, sexual and gender minorities in India and Thailand are at disproportionate risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection and severe disease. Despite global health disparities among LGBT+ populations, there is a lack of coordinated, community-engaged interventions to address the expected excess burden of COVID-19 and public health–recommended protective measures.

Objective: We will implement a randomized controlled trial (RCT) to evaluate the effectiveness of a brief, peer-delivered eHealth intervention to increase COVID-19 knowledge and public health–recommended protective behaviors, and reduce psychological distress among LGBT+ people residing in Bangkok, Thailand, and Mumbai, India. Subsequent to the RCT, we will conduct exit interviews with purposively sampled subgroups, including those with no intervention effect.

Methods: SafeHandsSafeHearts is a 2-site, parallel waitlist-controlled RCT to test the efficacy of a 3-session, peer counselor–delivered eHealth intervention based on motivational interviewing and psychoeducation. The study methods, online infrastructure, and content were pilot-tested with LGBT+ individuals in Toronto, Canada, before adaptation and rollout in the other contexts. The primary outcomes are COVID-19 knowledge (index based on US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC] items), protective behaviors (index based on World Health Organization and US CDC guidelines), depression (Patient Health Questionnaire-2), and anxiety (Generalized Anxiety Disorder-2). Secondary outcomes include loneliness, COVID-19 stress, and intended care-seeking. We will enroll 310 participants in each city aged 18 years and older. One-third of the participants will be cisgender gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men; one-third will be cisgender lesbian, bisexual, and other women who have sex with women; and one-third will be transfeminine, transmasculine, and gender nonbinary people. Participants will be equally stratified in the immediate intervention and waitlist control groups. Participants are mainly recruited from online



¹Factor-Inwentash Faculty of Social Work, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

²Centre for Sexuality and Health Research and Policy, Chennai, India

³Department of Health and Society, University of Toronto Scarborough, Scarborough, ON, Canada

⁴VOICES-Thailand Foundation, Chiang Mai, Thailand

⁵Faculty of Science and Technology, Rajamangala University of Technology Phra Nakhon, Bangkok, Thailand

⁶The Humsafar Trust, Mumbai, India

social media accounts of community-based partner organizations. They can access the intervention on a computer, tablet, or mobile phone. SafeHandsSafeHearts involves 3 sessions delivered weekly over 3 successive weeks. Exit interviews will be conducted online with 3 subgroups (n=12 per group, n=36 in each city) of purposively selected participants to be informed by RCT outcomes and focal populations of concern.

Results: The RCT was funded in 2020. The trials started recruitment as of August 1, 2021, and all RCT data collection will likely be completed by January 31, 2022.

Conclusions: The SafeHandsSafeHearts RCT will provide evidence about the effectiveness of a brief, peer-delivered eHealth intervention developed for LGBT+ populations amid the COVID-19 pandemic. If the intervention proves effective, it will provide a basis for future scale-up in India and Thailand, and other low- and middle-income countries.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT04870723; https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04870723

International Registered Report Identifier (IRRID): DERR1-10.2196/34381

(JMIR Res Protoc 2021;10(12):e34381) doi: 10.2196/34381

KEYWORDS

COVID-19; eHealth; RCT; protective behaviors; psychological distress; LGBT+; India; Thailand

Introduction

Background

As of September 30, 2021, India reported 33,739,980 COVID-19 cases and 448,062 deaths [1], and Thailand [2] reported 1,603,475 cases and 16,727 deaths [3,4]. In India, the vast informal workforce, poverty, food insecurity, and an underfunded health care system make projections difficult [5]. Thailand faces similar socioeconomic challenges but on a lesser scale. World Bank [6,7] data reveal that India (0.9/1000) and Thailand (0.8/1000) have nearly 3-fold lower ratios of physicians per capita compared with those of the United States and Canada (both 2.6/1000), with a 5-fold lower ratio of hospital beds in India (0.5/1000) compared with those of the United States (2.9/1000), Canada (2.5/1000), and Thailand (2.1/1000). These data indicate serious health care system challenges amid unknown third and fourth waves of infections and emerging variants of concern [8].

Sexual and gender minorities in India and Thailand, as in many contexts, face pervasive HIV and sexual stigma, harassment, violence, and barriers in access to health care [9-15]. In India, national HIV prevalence among men who have sex with men (MSM) and transgender people is 20-fold higher than that of the general population [16-18]. Depression and alcohol dependence incidence is 5-fold higher among lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) people than that among the general population [19-21]. Thailand has a 10-fold higher HIV prevalence among MSM versus the general population [22]. Limited research indicates that depression among LGBT adults is ~10-fold higher than that of the general population [23,24]. High rates of suicidal ideation and substance use have been documented among transgender people [25,26], lesbian women [27,28], MSM [29,30], and LGBT youth [31].

The ongoing pandemic along with various forms of lockdowns and stay-at-home orders in India and Thailand amid new waves of COVID-19 pose particular threats to LGBT and other persons outside of heteronormative and cisgender identities (LGBT+) populations [5,32]. Existing data on COVID-19 disparities among vulnerable populations [33,34] portend an excess risk for LGBT+ people. Mental health challenges due to public

health–recommended protective measures (eg, masking, physical distancing) [35], stay-at-home orders, and community-based organization (CBO) closures threaten excess risks for LGBT+ people compounded by stigma and related stress [36,37]. Social and structural vulnerabilities among LGBT+ people that are associated with existing mental health disparities are likely to be exacerbated due to the trauma and social isolation of the pandemic [38], including increases in depression, anxiety, and loneliness [35,39-42].

Adverse social determinants of health [43] (SDOH), including unstable housing, marginal employment, and discrimination in health care, impact the ability to enact physical distancing, work from home, and access testing [33,35]. LGBT+ people, who are more vulnerable owing to adverse SDOH [38,44-48], are among the populations at excess risk, along with people living with HIV [49], ethnic and racial minorities [31], and immigrants and refugees [31,50]. The gendered impacts of the pandemic, including women's disproportionate responsibilities for informal care within families and employment on the frontlines of health care [51], also intersect with these other vulnerabilities [52]. Populations in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), including LGBT+ people in particular, face risks exacerbated by structural challenges and lack of human rights protections [5,53].

Despite pervasive global health disparities among LGBT+ populations, adverse SDOH [54-56], and lack of human rights protections [32,57], there is a lack of coordinated, community-engaged responses to address the expected excess burden of COVID-19 and public health-recommended Public health responses protective measures. communications for LGBT+ communities are impeded by lack of LGBT+ community engagement, along with lack of data on health disparities and community needs in the pandemic. Lessons learned from Ebola, H1N1 influenza, and SARS [58,59] indicate that engaging vulnerable communities and building trust are crucial to public health communication and responses [58-61]. With pandemic planning typically framed around the traditional nuclear family and stereotypical gender role assumptions [58], public health–recommended measures often overlook LGBT+ people with different living configurations



than those of heterosexual, cisgender people: living with same-gender partners/spouses, friends, hostile families, or alone. This compounds vulnerabilities due to social isolation, and lack of social support and safety [58,62]. Undifferentiated public health responses can exacerbate mistrust among vulnerable communities due to existing disparities, fueling loss of confidence in public health communications [58,59] in a broader context of rampant COVID-19 misinformation [63].

Some restrictions on rights are justified in response to a public health emergency. Nevertheless, as reported by Human Rights Watch [64], UNAIDS (Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS) [65], the Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights [66], and the media [67,68], government emergency powers in response to COVID-19 have led to abuses against LGBT+ people worldwide. These include housing discrimination, evictions, and police brutality against transgender people in India [67]; disproportionate job loss and lack of access to government subsidies for LGBT+ people in Thailand and India, many of whom are marginalized from the mainstream workforce [42]; and exacerbation of sexual and HIV stigma [65,66].

In sum, heightened vulnerability among LGBT+ populations in the COVID-19 pandemic may result from existing health disparities amid ongoing adverse SDOH, compounded by human rights violations and social-structural constraints on enacting public health-recommended protective measures. Yet, public health responses largely do not address LGBT+ vulnerabilities. Extensive evidence supports the acceptability [36,69] and effectiveness of eHealth interventions with LGBT+ and other vulnerable populations in increasing health knowledge and preventive behaviors, and reducing psychological distress [70,71]. Our World Health Organization (WHO)-recommended approach based on community engagement [72] in intervention development, capacitation of CBOs, and cogovernance by trusted CBO partners supports the feasibility, acceptability, and scalability of the intervention [60,73]. The proposed #SafeHandsSafeHearts intervention aims to support LGBT+ individuals amid the pandemic and to advance the broader pandemic response for LGBT+ populations.

Research Questions

This study addresses the following research questions: What are the needs and challenges faced by diverse LGBT+ people in India and Thailand in the COVID-19 pandemic? What is the level of COVID-19 knowledge, public health–recommended protective behaviors, and psychological distress? Will a brief, tailored, peer-led eHealth intervention increase COVID-19 knowledge and protective behaviors, and reduce psychological distress among LGBT+ people?

Specific Objectives

The specific objectives of the study are to (1) increase knowledge about COVID-19 transmission, risk, and public health–recommended protective behaviors among diverse LGBT+ persons in India and Thailand; (2) increase public health–recommended protective behaviors, including handwashing, physical distancing, and wearing masks; and (3)

reduce pandemic-related psychological distress (anxiety, depression, social isolation/loneliness).

Methods

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate

Ethics approvals have been received from the University of Toronto Research Ethics Board (RIS Protocol: 39769); the Humsafar Trust Institutional Review Board (Protocol: HST-IRB-51-06/2021); and the Institutional Review Board of the Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University (Protocol: 272/64).

Study Design

We use a sequential quantitative-qualitative mixed methods design [74]. We will implement a 2-site, parallel waitlist-controlled randomized controlled trial (RCT) to test the efficacy of a 3-session, peer counselor-delivered eHealth intervention to increase COVID-19 knowledge and protective behaviors, and decrease pandemic-related psychological distress. The immediate versus waitlist allocation ratio is 1:1. We conducted a formative intervention development phase with LGBT+ individuals in Toronto to pilot test and refine the online infrastructure (participant eligibility screening, randomization, survey programming and administration, databases, and dashboard interface for tracking and monitoring) and peer counselor training before rollout in the other 2 sites. All study materials were culturally and contextually adapted through consultation with experts in each site, pilot-tested among individuals from locally eligible study populations, and then revised before implementation.

The immediate intervention group to be enrolled over a 2-month period will complete the 3-session biweekly intervention from August to October 2021. The randomized waitlist control group will crossover to receive the intervention from October to December 2021, after the immediate group finishes. Waitlist control groups, often used in psychosocial interventions [75], avert ethical problems with no-treatment controls, particularly with groups that experience health disparities, moreover amid a pandemic, and also avoid alienating the community.

Sample Size Calculations

The sample size was calculated based on power to detect significant differences in 3 primary outcomes: proportion of participants with: (1) accurate COVID-19 knowledge, (2) consistent public health-recommended handwashing behaviors, and (3) pandemic-related psychological distress. Given baseline differences in the two countries, we first describe the detailed power analysis for India, where (1) COVID-19 knowledge ranges from 18.2% (fever a major symptom) to 43.0% (highly contagious) [76], and (2) a national survey [77] estimated that 35.8% of the population wash their hands with soap and water. Our baseline estimates are 40% for knowledge and 36% for handwashing (proxy for 3 protective behaviors). A 30% increase postintervention attains clinical/public health significance [78] and a substantial effect size. For (3) psychological distress (depression, anxiety, social isolation), we use a baseline depression rate of 50% (based on a systematic review [19]) as a proxy, with an expected 30% reduction [79]. Using Stata-16,



the required sample size to detect significant differences between the waitlist control and immediate-intervention groups, with power of 80%, α of .05 for the 95% CI, and a two-tailed test, ranged from 78 to 86. Assuming 20% attrition, the final sample size was increased to 103 per group (cisgender men, cisgender women, transgender and gender nonbinary people) with power to detect significant differences in each of the 3 groups, for a total sample of 309 in Mumbai.

Using published prevalence of primary outcomes and estimated effect sizes based on similar in-country interventions, we estimated a sample size of 309 for Bangkok [23,28,80-82]. Thus, the trial is powered to detect overall (and by city) sex and gender differences in the primary outcomes (COVID-19 knowledge, protective behaviors, and psychological distress) and the efficacy of the intervention [83].

Procedures

Inclusion Criteria

Participants are eligible for enrollment if they are (1) aged 18 years and older; (2) self-identify as LGBT+ using local, culturally appropriate self-identifications [10,57,79]; (3) reside in one of the two cities (Bangkok and Mumbai); (4) able to understand and willing to provide informed consent; and (5) able to understand primary language(s) at the site (Thai, Hindi/Marathi, or English). We do not use exclusion criteria based on mental health. The Patient Health Questionnaire-2 (PHQ-2) will be administered in the baseline survey; those with scores indicative of clinical depression (≥3 on the depression scale) will be referred by peer counselors to in-house mental health professionals on call at the site.

Recruitment

Participants will be recruited online with electronic flyers and social media messages developed with CBO partners, through CBO social media accounts in WhatsApp groups, e-groups, virtual LGBT+ groups, Facebook, and a study website linked to all CBOs to reach potentially eligible participants.

Randomization

Participants will be randomized to the immediate intervention group or waitlist control group (12-week waitlist) at a 1:1 ratio, stratified by sex and gender [83] (cisgender men, cisgender women, transgender and gender nonbinary people), with a computer-generated sequence. Participants and researchers will not be blinded; in the informed consent process, potential participants will be told about the waitlist control.

Informed Consent

Immediately upon screening into the study, potential participants will be shown an informed consent form online and given time to read through it. Potential participants will be instructed to contact the study coordinator and provided with an email address if they wish to ask any questions or request clarifications before providing consent.



Overview

As there is no manualized intervention for COVID-19 prevention, we adapted efficacious eHealth interventions for HIV, the largest pandemic of the last century, by members of our research team [79,84]. The 3 primary outcomes—increasing COVID-19 knowledge, protective behaviors, and reducing psychological distress—are central to public health approaches to halt SARS-CoV-2 transmission [85,86].

Motivational Interviewing and Psychoeducation

The intervention builds on evidence-based eHealth interventions motivational interviewing (MI) [87,88] psychoeducation [89] approaches to increase health knowledge, behaviors, and reduce psychological distress [90-94]. Several MI-based studies have been conducted with LGBT+ people [95-97], including in India [79,84] and Thailand [98]. MI is a client-centered counseling approach that elicits and strengthens intrinsic motivation for change [87,99,100]. MI is based on Stages of Change theory, which enables tailoring to individual readiness for change with an emphasis on supporting client autonomy and volition [101,102]. Psychoeducation integrates education and counseling to promote mental health [89]. Consonant with MI, it is a strengths-based approach in which clients are considered partners in treatment [89]. Psychoeducational techniques are used to mitigate barriers to comprehending complex and emotionally laden information, with a focus on developing strategies to use the information proactively, such as in anticipating actions if one were to experience distress or loneliness [88].

Peer Counselor Training

Peer counselors will receive an initial 3-day online training along with a 2-day booster training immediately preceding the intervention. Training will be conducted by study coordinators and agency staff in each site, covering COVID-19, public health–recommended protective behaviors, pandemic stress (ie, anxiety, depression, social isolation), MI-based counseling, psychoeducation, and research ethics [78,103]. Training will include online small-group discussions, role-playing, and mock sessions, with peer counselor feedback also used to fine-tune the intervention.

Intervention Group

We use a 3-session peer-delivered MI-based brief counseling (45 minutes to 1 hour) format with weekly individual sessions, which has previously demonstrated effectiveness in interventions for alcohol, tobacco, and marijuana use, and HIV prevention [79,104-107]. Peer counselors will complete session-specific checklists (activities conducted, issues encountered, self-evaluated quality of engagement) following each session. To assess fidelity, supervisors will review a random selection of peer counselors' initial sessions, which will be digitally recorded, and provide feedback using a structured checklist. Supervisors will conduct biweekly online group discussions to provide feedback, emotional support, and discuss and troubleshoot challenges to protocol implementation. In each online session, participants will complete a 4-item survey to evaluate content, satisfaction with the session and its duration,



and any exposure to other interventions. Peer counselors write up brief counseling notes after each session, along with the brief self-evaluation. We use process evaluation to assess dose and implementation fidelity [107].

Waitlist Control Group

Governments and public health ministries in India and Thailand provide almost daily briefings about COVID-19 via multiple sources: TV, newspapers, Facebook, WhatsApp, LineChat, Instagram, and SMS text messages. Online messenger platforms (eg, WhatsApp, Line) provide LGBT-targeted information, with additional government mobile apps developed for general populations. The waitlist control group will receive brief reminders by mobile phone to support retention.

Assessments

Each participant will complete a baseline survey, a postintervention survey 2 weeks after their final eHealth session, and a follow-up survey 2 months after the postintervention survey. Waitlist controls will complete a second baseline survey immediately before beginning the eHealth intervention.

Measures

Overview

Demographic data, including age, sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, city of residence, country of birth, education, and

.

employment, will be obtained to determine the baseline equivalence of groups.

Primary Measures

Knowledge

COVID-19 knowledge [108,109] will be assessed using an index developed by the research team and based on published research [110,111].

Preventive Behaviors

Public health–recommended preventive behaviors [108,109] will be assessed using an index developed by the research team based on WHO and US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines.

Mental Health Measures

Depression and anxiety symptoms in the past 2 weeks will be measured using the PHQ-2 [112] and the Generalized Anxiety Disorder 2-item scale [113].

Secondary Measures

The indices assessed as secondary measures are listed in Textbox 1.

Textbox 1. Secondary measures.

- UCLA Loneliness Scale [114]
- COVID Stress Scales (COVID danger, COVID traumatic stress) [115]
- Intended care-seeking [116]
- Unmet health care needs and perceived quality of care [117]
- COVID-19-related risk perception and testing (developed for this study)
- COVID-19 vaccines (developed for this study)
- Discrimination in Medical Settings Scale (DMS Scale) [118]
- Conspiracy beliefs [116,119-121]
- Attitudes toward government handling of COVID-19 [110]
- Support for government action regarding the pandemic [122]
- Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT-C) [123]
- Sexual and reproductive health changes [124]
- Intimate partner violence changes [125]
- General and HIV-specific COVID-19 impacts [47,126]
- Household Water InSecurity Experiences (HWISE Scale) [127]
- Response to Stressful Experiences Scale (RSES-4) [128]
- Outness indicator [129]
- Technology ownership (developed for this study)

Statistical Analysis Plan

Intervention efficacy will be assessed by comparing data from the second preintervention baseline survey from the waitlist control with postintervention data from the immediate intervention group. The χ^2 test for unadjusted analyses and logistic generalized estimating equations (GEEs) for adjusted analyses [130] will be used to assess dichotomous primary outcomes (COVID-19 knowledge, protective behaviors, psychological distress). Sensitivity analyses will use composite



scores of primary outcomes with independent-samples *t* tests for unadjusted analyses and GEEs (Gaussian or Poisson) for adjusted analyses. To assess if intervention efficacy is sustained, waitlist control data from the second preintervention survey will be compared with intervention group follow-up data. We will use intention-to-treat analyses and also report per-protocol analyses [131]. In addition to analyses of efficacy for LGBT+ people as a whole, the sample size is powered for a priori subgroup analysis (cisgender gay/bisexual men, cisgender lesbian/bisexual women, transgender and gender nonbinary people). We will assess if intervention effects on protective behaviors are mediated by increases in knowledge, self-efficacy, perceived vulnerability, and decreases in conspiracy theories and psychological distress, and if the effects on psychological distress are mediated by resilience.

Process Evaluation and Qualitative Analysis

Participant satisfaction and supervisor counseling session observation scores will be used to assess intervention satisfaction and fidelity, respectively. Dose will be determined by intervention session attendance. Post-RCT qualitative exit interviews will be conducted with purposive random samples [132,133] of select populations in each site, with selection criteria to be informed by survey data and focal populations of concern. These may include transgender and gender nonbinary persons, people living with HIV, and those with no intervention effect on reducing psychological distress (n=12 per group). In-depth interviews will be conducted online in accordance with methodological recommendations and ethical considerations amid a pandemic [134]. A semistructured interview guide will be used to explore experiences in the pandemic, focal challenges and strengths, identified supports, and thoughts about the intervention. Interviews will be audio-recorded, transcribed, translated into English, and examined using techniques from framework analysis [135] and thematic analysis [136] to explore pandemic-related challenges and resiliencies, population-specific and cross-group themes, perceived usefulness of the intervention, and intervention mechanisms.

Results

This study was funded by the International Development Research Centre, Canada, from 2020 through 2021. Some of the development costs were funded by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada. The enrollment of participants began in August 2021. Baseline assessments, allocation, and intervention are currently underway. The first results are expected to be submitted for publication in 2022.

Discussion

Principal Findings

This protocol outlines the design of an RCT to evaluate the effectiveness of an eHealth peer intervention for increasing COVID-19 knowledge and preventive behaviors, and reducing psychological distress among sexual and gender minority people. To our knowledge, the proposed intervention is the first peer-delivered prevention program delivered via Internet of Things (IoT) devices (eg, PC, laptop, tablet, mobile phone) for

LGBT+ people in LMICs amid the COVID-19 pandemic. If effective, it has the potential for widespread implementation at a relatively low cost, as it relies on peers and uses a delivery method that is both acceptable and accessible for LGBT+ people, including in an LMIC, during the pandemic.

Strengths and Limitations

The key strengths of the proposed effectiveness study are the intervention's focus on marshaling peer support among LGBT+ adults (aged 18 years or over) during the pandemic using digital technology. Mobile delivery using IoT devices means that the intervention is accessible during continuing stay-at-home orders, lockdowns, and waves of the pandemic, particularly in LMICs in which vaccines are not broadly available. The intervention is also adaptable for future pandemics and emergency situations. Engaging with others over online messenger platforms and apps is also comfortable and culturally appropriate for LGBT+ people, including those who may opt not to self-disclose their sexual orientation or gender identity in public forums to protect their privacy and safety in adverse familial and social environments. The intervention also addresses what may be population-specific concerns among LGBT+ people, who are often not included or considered in pandemic response planning or interventions designed for the general public. Further, the intervention can be accessed from home in relatively private spaces not requiring public attendance at LGBT-identified CBOs or services. The latter presents barriers due to stay-at-home orders, as well as more general obstacles for some LGBT+ people who are not "out" and for whom the risk of disclosure may present unacceptable "costs," including loss of family support, job loss, harassment, and violence.

Another benefit of the intervention is its links to a broad spectrum of CBOs, both LGBT+-identified non-LGBT+-identified. This means that CBOs could act as delivery partners to roll out the intervention if found to be effective. Relatedly, the intervention training and clinical supervision provided to peer counselors and counseling interns can act as a supportive mechanism during the pandemic for individuals from a vulnerable population, including CBO staff, as well as building capacity to address future emergency situations. Finally, the intervention was collaboratively designed by an international team with extensive experience in conducting research and providing health services to sexual and gender minorities in each country.

One limitation of the study is the reliance on participant self-report to collect data for the primary outcomes of this trial. Although this was chosen for feasibility and ethical considerations, and is a standard practice for psychosocial interventions, it is possible that measures of protective behaviors and mental health could be subject to underreporting or overreporting. To mitigate response bias and socially desirable responses, participants are reminded of the confidentiality of their responses at each survey occasion, are not asked for their names or home addresses, and are encouraged to be as honest as possible. Furthermore, the MI approach that guides the intervention is anchored in respect, lack of judgment, and acceptance of each participant's current behaviors and



perspectives; this milieu contributes to participants' openness and honesty, and mitigation of socially desirable responses.

Due to time and budget constraints, the study was unable to provide tablets or smartphones to participants who did not own or have access to them. This may pose barriers to participation by individuals who do not have access to IoT devices or broadband internet. However, we used cross-platform programming with a responsive web design to ensure that the online content and eHealth sessions function and display correctly on a variety of devices, platforms, and screen sizes, including tablets and smartphones. Thailand [137] and India [138,139] have high rates of mobile phone penetration, both being among the top 20 countries in smartphone users in the world [140]. Further investigation will be needed to examine feasibility and efficacy among LGBT+ adults in rural areas, who may face more protracted challenges in a pandemic [141], as well as the potential impact of the gender gap in smartphone ownership, with women in LMICs being 20% less likely than men to own a smartphone or access the internet via a mobile device [142].

We mitigate threats to internal validity due to differential attrition by implementing a brief, 3-session, weekly intervention with 2-week immediate follow-up, which reduces the waitlist time for the control group. There is a reduced risk of contamination as an eHealth intervention for which participants will be individually recruited online and participate online. This

threat is also mitigated due to stay-at-home orders and physical distancing guidelines that deter or prevent attendance at CBO sites, although guidelines may change during the course of the intervention.

Finally, the unpredictable course of the pandemic, and regional and local variation in severity and public health responses, has delayed onset of the study and created intermittent barriers and interruptions in implementation. Nevertheless, the development and testing of the intervention during a pandemic may increase its feasibility and external validity. Once developed, implemented, and tested, the intervention may be more readily usable for LGBT+ and other marginalized populations in future pandemics and other emergency situations.

Conclusions

The development of a novel eHealth intervention designed for sexual and gender minority individuals to promote COVID-19 knowledge and protective behaviors, and reduce psychological distress represents an innovative approach to pandemic preparedness and response in real-world settings, including LMIC settings most severely impacted by the pandemic. The intervention protocol and materials will be linked and shared with existing CBOs and clinics serving sexual and gender minority populations, and if effective will be made publicly available, with the potential for broad implementation and a significant impact globally.

Acknowledgments

This study is funded by IDRC (International Development Research Centre, Canada; #109555). The authors would like to acknowledge our lead community partners, the Humsafar Trust (Mumbai), the Institute for HIV Research and Innovation (Bangkok), VOICES-Thailand (Bangkok), and Women's Health in Women's Hands (Toronto) for their input and collaboration in implementing the study. We thank community-based organization and clinic staff, and our dedicated peer counselors, many of whom are LGBT+ individuals. Thank you to the following for expert support on study design, coordination, and implementation: Dr Greg Carl, Ms Joellen Forbes, Dr N Kumarasamy, Dr Viraj Patel, Ms Supabhorn Pengnonyang, Dr Nittaya Phanuphak, Ms Monte-Angel Richardson, Ms Sarah Sebastian, Ms Wangari Tharao, and Ms Sataporn Waewklaihong.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Multimedia Appendix 1

Four peer-review reports from the granting agency. [PDF File (Adobe PDF File), 64 KB-Multimedia Appendix 1]

References

- 1. WHO health emergency dashboard: India situation. World Health Organization. URL: https://covid19.who.int/region/searo/country/in [accessed 2021-11-30]
- 2. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): WHO Thailand situation report-203. World Health Organization Country Office for Thailand. 2021 Sep 30. URL: https://cdn.who.int/media/docs/default-source/searo/thailand/2021_09_30_eng-sitrep-203-covid19.pdf?sfvrsn=97de5f7b_5 [accessed 2021-11-30]
- 3. Corona Virus Disease (COVID-19) Thailand situation. Department of Disease Control, Ministry of Public Health Thailand. URL: https://ddc.moph.go.th/viralpneumonia/eng/index.php [accessed 2021-11-30]
- 4. WHO health emergency dashboard. Thailand situation. World Health Organization. URL: https://covid19.who.int/region/searo/country/th [accessed 2021-11-30]
- 5. Dahab M, van Zandvoort K, Flasche S, Warsame A, Ratnayake R, Favas C, et al. COVID-19 control in low-income settings and displaced populations: what can realistically be done? Confl Health 2020 Jul 31;14(1):54-56 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/s13031-020-00296-8] [Medline: 32754225]



- 6. World Bank Open Data-Hospital beds (per 1,000 people). The World Bank. 2021. URL: https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SH.MED.BEDS.ZS [accessed 2021-11-30]
- 7. Understanding the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic through data. The World Bank. URL: https://datatopics.worldbank.org/universal-health-coverage/coronavirus/ [accessed 2021-11-30]
- 8. Xu S, Li Y. Beware of the second wave of COVID-19. Lancet 2020 Apr 25;395(10233):1321-1322 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30845-X] [Medline: 32277876]
- 9. Li L, Lee S, Thammawijaya P, Jiraphongsa C, Rotheram-Borus MJ. Stigma, social support, and depression among people living with HIV in Thailand. AIDS Care 2009 Aug 17;21(8):1007-1013 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1080/09540120802614358] [Medline: 20024757]
- 10. Ojanen T, Newman PA, Ratanashevorn R, van Wijngaarden JWL, Tepjan S. Whose paradise? An intersectional perspective on mental health and gender/sexual diversity in Thailand. In: Nakamura N, Logie CH, editors. LGBTQ Mental Health: International Perspectives and Experiences. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2019:137-151.
- 11. Chakrapani V, Newman PA, Shunmugam M, McLuckie A, Melwin F. Structural violence against Kothi-identified men who have sex with men in Chennai, India: a qualitative investigation. AIDS Educ Prev 2007 Aug;19(4):346-364. [doi: 10.1521/aeap.2007.19.4.346] [Medline: 17685847]
- 12. Chakrapani V, Kaur M, Newman PA, Mittal S, Kumar R. Syndemics and HIV-related sexual risk among men who have sex with men in India: influences of stigma and resilience. Cult Health Sex 2019 Apr 20;21(4):416-431 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1080/13691058.2018.1486458] [Medline: 30025511]
- 13. Chakrapani V, Newman PA, Shunmugam M. Stigma and mental health of self-identified men who have sex with men and hijras/trans women in India. In: Nakamura N, Logie CH, editors. LGBT mental health: International perspectives and experiences. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2019:103-119.
- 14. Logie CH, Newman PA, Weaver J, Roungkraphon S, Tepjan S. HIV-related stigma and HIV prevention uptake among young men who have sex with men and transgender women in Thailand. AIDS Patient Care STDS 2016 Feb;30(2):92-100. [doi: 10.1089/apc.2015.0197] [Medline: 26788978]
- 15. Newman PA, Lee S, Roungprakhon S, Tepjan S. Demographic and behavioral correlates of HIV risk among men and transgender women recruited from gay entertainment venues and community-based organizations in Thailand: implications for HIV prevention. Prev Sci 2012 Oct 20;13(5):483-492 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s11121-012-0275-4] [Medline: 22528046]
- 17. National integrated biological and behavioural surveillance (IBBS), India 2014-15. National AIDS Control Organization, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. 2015 Dec. URL: http://naco.gov.in/sites/default/files/IBBS%20Report%202014-15.pdf [accessed 2021-11-30]
- 18. HIV facts and figures. National AIDS Control Organization, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India. 2018. URL: http://naco.gov.in/hiv-facts-figures [accessed 2021-11-30]
- 19. Wandrekar JR, Nigudkar AS. What do we know about LGBTQIA+ mental health in India? A review of research from 2009 to 2019. J Psychosex Health 2020 Apr 24;2(1):26-36. [doi: 10.1177/2631831820918129]
- 20. Wilkerson JM, Di Paola A, Rawat S, Patankar P, Rosser BRS, Ekstrand ML. Substance use, mental health, HIV testing, and sexual risk behavior among men who have sex with men in the state of Maharashtra, India. AIDS Educ Prev 2018 Apr;30(2):96-107 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1521/aeap.2018.30.2.96] [Medline: 29688773]
- 21. Chakrapani V, Newman PA, Shunmugam M, Logie CH, Samuel M. Syndemics of depression, alcohol use, and victimisation, and their association with HIV-related sexual risk among men who have sex with men and transgender women in India. Glob Public Health 2017 Feb 12;12(2):250-265. [doi: 10.1080/17441692.2015.1091024] [Medline: 26457339]
- 22. Country factsheets Thailand 2020: HIV and AIDS estimates. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). 2021. URL: https://www.unaids.org/en/regionscountries/countries/thailand [accessed 2021-11-30]
- 23. Kittiteerasack P, Matthews AK, Steffen A, Corte C, McCreary LL, Bostwick W, et al. The influence of minority stress on indicators of suicidality among lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender adults in Thailand. J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs 2021 Aug;28(4):656-669. [doi: 10.1111/jpm.12713] [Medline: 33190351]
- 24. Kongsuk T, Arunpongphaisan S, Peanchan W. The prevalence of major depressive disorders in Thailand: Results from the epidemiology of mental disorders national survey 2008. Ministry of Public Health, Department of Mental Health, Thailand. 2013. URL: http://www.dmh.go.th/downloadportal/Morbidity/Depress2551.pdf [accessed 2021-11-30]
- 25. Guadamuz TE, Wimonsate W, Varangrat A, Phanuphak P, Jommaroeng R, McNicholl JM, et al. HIV prevalence, risk behavior, hormone use and surgical history among transgender persons in Thailand. AIDS Behav 2011 Apr 20;15(3):650-658 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10461-010-9850-5] [Medline: 21104008]
- 26. Yadegarfard M, Meinhold-Bergmann M, Ho R. Family rejection, social isolation, and loneliness as predictors of negative health outcomes (depression, suicidal ideation, and sexual risk behavior) among Thai male-to-female transgender adolescents. J LGBT Youth 2014 Oct 10;11(4):347-363. [doi: 10.1080/19361653.2014.910483]



- 27. Patel SA, Bangorn S, Aramrattana A, Limaye R, Celentano DD, Lee J, et al. Elevated alcohol and sexual risk behaviors among young Thai lesbian/bisexual women. Drug Alcohol Depend 2013 Jan 01;127(1-3):53-58 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.drugalcdep.2012.06.010] [Medline: 22770462]
- 28. Boonkerd S. Prevalence of depression, problem recognition and coping strategies among lesbians, in Northeastern Thailand. J Nurs Sci Health 2014;37(2):92-101 [FREE Full text]
- 29. Guadamuz TE, Boonmongkon P. Ice parties among young men who have sex with men in Thailand: Pleasures, secrecy and risks. Int J Drug Policy 2018 May;55:249-255 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.drugpo.2018.04.005] [Medline: 29691128]
- 30. Sapsirisavat V, Phanuphak N, Keadpudsa S, Egan JE, Pussadee K, Klaytong P, FAITH Study Team, et al. Psychosocial and behavioral characteristics of high-risk men who have sex with men (MSM) of unknown HIV positive serostatus in Bangkok, Thailand. AIDS Behav 2016 Dec;20(Suppl 3):386-397. [doi: 10.1007/s10461-016-1519-2] [Medline: 27553027]
- 31. Mahidol University, Plan International Thailand, UNESCO. Bullying targeting secondary school students who are or are perceived to be transgender or same-sex attracted: types, prevalence, impact, motivation and preventive measures in 5 provinces of Thailand. UNESCO. 2014. URL: https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000227518 [accessed 2021-11-30]
- 32. Meier BM, Gostin LO. Framing human rights in global health governance. In: Meier BM, Gostin LO, editors. Human rights in global health: rights-based governance for a globalizing world. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press; May 21, 2018.
- 33. Yancy CW. COVID-19 and African Americans. JAMA 2020 May 19;323(19):1891-1892. [doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.6548] [Medline: 32293639]
- 34. Orcutt M, Patel P, Burns R, Hiam L, Aldridge R, Devakumar D, et al. Global call to action for inclusion of migrants and refugees in the COVID-19 response. Lancet 2020 May 09;395(10235):1482-1483 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30971-5] [Medline: 32334651]
- 35. Galea S, Merchant RM, Lurie N. The mental health consequences of COVID-19 and physical distancing: the need for prevention and early intervention. JAMA Intern Med 2020 Jun 01;180(6):817-818. [doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.1562] [Medline: 32275292]
- 36. Pachankis JE. Uncovering clinical principles and techniques to address minority stress, mental health, and related health risks among gay and bisexual men. Clin Psychol 2014 Dec;21(4):313-330 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1111/cpsp.12078] [Medline: 25554721]
- 37. Meyer IH. Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay, and bisexual populations: conceptual issues and research evidence. Psychol Bull 2003 Sep;129(5):674-697 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1037/0033-2909.129.5.674] [Medline: 12956539]
- 38. Salerno JP, Williams ND, Gattamorta KA. LGBTQ populations: psychologically vulnerable communities in the COVID-19 pandemic. Psychol Trauma 2020 Aug;12(S1):S239-S242 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1037/tra0000837] [Medline: 32551761]
- 39. Klomek AB. Suicide prevention during the COVID-19 outbreak. Lancet Psychiatry 2020 May;7(5):390 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30142-5] [Medline: 32353271]
- 40. Report says Thailand's suicide rate increasing during coronavirus pandemic. Thai Public Broadcasting Service. 2020 Apr 25. URL: https://www.thaipbsworld.com/report-says-thailands-suicide-rate-increasing-during-coronavirus-pandemic/ [accessed 2021-11-30]
- 41. Lee AM, Wong JG, McAlonan GM, Cheung V, Cheung C, Sham PC, et al. Stress and psychological distress among SARS survivors 1 year after the outbreak. Can J Psychiatry 2007 Apr;52(4):233-240. [doi: 10.1177/070674370705200405] [Medline: 17500304]
- 42. Chakrapani V, Newman PA, Sebastian A, Rawat S, Shunmugam M, Sellamuthu P. The impact of COVID-19 on economic well-being and health outcomes among transgender women in India. Transgender Health 2021 Apr 15:online ahead of print. [doi: 10.1089/trgh.2020.0131]
- 43. Farrés-Juste O. Social determinants of health: citizenship rights and responsibilities. In: Vallverdú J, Puyol A, Estany A, editors. Philosophical and methodological debates in public health. Switzerland: Springer; Oct 2019:125-136.
- 44. O'Sullivan T, Bourgoin M. Vulnerability in an influenza pandemic: Looking beyond medical risk. Homeless Hub, Canadian Observatory on Homelessness. 2010 Oct. URL: https://homelesshub.ca/sites/default/files/attachments/Lit Review-Vulnerability in Pandemic FINAL.pdf [accessed 2021-12-01]
- 45. Banerjee D, Rao TSS. "The graying minority": lived experiences and psychosocial challenges of older transgender adults during the COVID-19 pandemic in India, a qualitative exploration. Front Psychiatry 2020;11:604472. [doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.604472] [Medline: 33488427]
- 46. Krause K. Implications of the COVID-19 Pandemic on LGBTQ Communities. J Public Health Manag Pract 2021;27(Suppl 1):S69-S71. [doi: 10.1097/PHH.000000000001273] [Medline: 33239566]
- 47. Sanchez TH, Zlotorzynska M, Rai M, Baral SD. Characterizing the impact of COVID-19 on men who have sex with men across the United States in April, 2020. AIDS Behav 2020 Jul;24(7):2024-2032 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10461-020-02894-2] [Medline: 32350773]
- 48. Winwood JJ, Fitzgerald L, Gardiner B, Hannan K, Howard C, Mutch A. Exploring the social impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on people living with HIV (PLHIV): a scoping review. AIDS Behav 2021 Dec;25(12):4125-4140 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10461-021-03300-1] [Medline: 34019203]



- 49. Shiau S, Krause KD, Valera P, Swaminathan S, Halkitis PN. The burden of COVID-19 in people living with HIV: a syndemic perspective. AIDS Behav 2020 Aug;24(8):2244-2249 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10461-020-02871-9] [Medline: 32303925]
- 50. Ross J, Diaz CM, Starrels JL. The disproportionate burden of COVID-19 for immigrants in the Bronx, New York. JAMA Intern Med 2020 Aug 01;180(8):1043-1044. [doi: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2131] [Medline: 32383754]
- 51. Wenham C, Smith J, Morgan R, Gender COVID-19 Working Group. COVID-19: the gendered impacts of the outbreak. Lancet 2020 Mar 14;395(10227):846-848 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30526-2] [Medline: 32151325]
- 52. Hernandez SM, Sparks PJ. Barriers to health care among adults With minoritized identities in the United States, 2013–2017. Am J Public Health 2020 Jun;110(6):857-862. [doi: 10.2105/ajph.2020.305598]
- 53. The Lancet. India under COVID-19 lockdown. Lancet 2020 Apr 25;395(10233):1315 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30938-7] [Medline: 32334687]
- 54. Canadian Mental Health Association (CMHA). Ontario, Canada; 2018. URL: http://ontario.cmha.ca/documents/lesbian-gay-bisexual-trans-queer-identified-people-and-mental-health/ [accessed 2021-12-01]
- 55. Fredriksen-Goldsen KI, Simoni JM, Kim H, Lehavot K, Walters KL, Yang J, et al. The health equity promotion model: reconceptualization of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) health disparities. Am J Orthopsychiatry 2014 Nov;84(6):653-663 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1037/ort0000030] [Medline: 25545433]
- 56. Pan American Health Organization, World Health Organization. Addressing the causes of disparities in health service access and utilization for lesbian, gay, bisexual and trans (LGBT) persons. IRIS PAHO. 2013 Sep. URL: https://iris.paho.org/handle/10665.2/4411 [accessed 2021-12-01]
- 57. Banik S, Dodge B, Schmidt-Sane M, Sivasubramanian M, Bowling J, Rawat SM, et al. Humanizing an invisible population in India: voices from bisexual men concerning identity, life experiences, and sexual health. Arch Sex Behav 2019

 Jan;48(1):305-316 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10508-018-1361-x] [Medline: 30511146]
- 58. O'Sullivan TL, Phillips KP. From SARS to pandemic influenza: the framing of high-risk populations. Nat Hazards (Dordr) 2019;98(1):103-117 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s11069-019-03584-6] [Medline: 32214659]
- 59. Driedger SM, Maier R, Jardine C. 'Damned if you do, and damned if you don't': communicating about uncertainty and evolving science during the H1N1 influenza pandemic. J Risk Res 2018 Apr 29;24(5):574-592. [doi: 10.1080/13669877.2018.1459793]
- 60. COVID-19 Clinical Research Coalition (CCRC). Coronavirus: three things all governments and their science advisers must do now. Nature 2020 Mar;579(7799):319-320. [doi: 10.1038/d41586-020-00772-4] [Medline: 32184490]
- 61. Nature. Coronavirus: three things all governments and their science advisers must do now. Nature 2020 Mar;579(7799):319-320. [doi: 10.1038/d41586-020-00772-4] [Medline: 32184490]
- 62. Haynes S. 'There's always a rainbow after the rain.' Challenged by coronavirus, LGBTQ communities worldwide plan digital pride celebrations. Time. 2020 May 07. URL: https://time.com/5814554/coronavirus-lgbtq-community-pride/ [accessed 2021-12-01]
- 63. Gonçalves-Sá J. In the fight against the new coronavirus outbreak, we must also struggle with human bias. Nat Med 2020 Mar;26(3):305 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0802-y] [Medline: 32152585]
- 64. Human rights dimensions of COVID-19 response. Human Rights Watch. 2020 Mar 17. URL: https://www.hrw.org/news/2020/03/19/human-rights-dimensions-covid-19-response [accessed 2021-12-01]
- 65. Rights in the time of COVID-19--Lessons from HIV for an effective, community-led response. Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS). 2020 Mar 20. URL: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2020/human-rights-and-covid-19 [accessed 2021-12-01]
- 66. COVID-19 and the human rights of LGBTI people: What is the impact of covid-19 on LGBTI people? Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR). 2020 Apr 17. URL: https://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Issues/LGBT/LGBTIpeople.pdf [accessed 2021-12-01]
- 67. Dasgupta R. Coronavirus lockdown: LGBTQ people face hostility and loneliness. The Conversation. 2020 Apr 17. URL: https://theconversation.com/coronavirus-lockdown-lgbtq-people-face-hostility-and-loneliness-135974 [accessed 2021-12-01]
- 68. Assunção M. Same-sex couple told to vacate their home in France: 'Homosexuals are the first to be contaminated by COVID-19'. NY Daily News. 2020 Apr 01. URL: https://www.nydailynews.com/coronavirus/ny-coronavirus-same-sex-couple-asked-vacate-house-20200401-cv737gkd4rf6nl5jiuvxykubnq-story.html [accessed 2021-12-01]
- 69. Hooper S, Rosser BRS, Horvath KJ, Oakes JM, Danilenko G, Men's INTernet Sex II (MINTS-II) Team. An online needs assessment of a virtual community: what men who use the internet to seek sex with men want in Internet-based HIV prevention. AIDS Behav 2008 Nov;12(6):867-875 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10461-008-9373-5] [Medline: 18401701]
- 70. Shingleton RM, Palfai TP. Technology-delivered adaptations of motivational interviewing for health-related behaviors: A systematic review of the current research. Patient Educ Couns 2016 Jan;99(1):17-35 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.pec.2015.08.005] [Medline: 26298219]
- 71. Zhang J, Wu W, Zhao X, Zhang W. Recommended psychological crisis intervention response to the 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia outbreak in China: A model of West China Hospital. Precis Clin Med 2019:pbaa006. [doi: 10.1093/pcmedi/pbaa006]



- 72. Adhikari B, Pell C, Cheah PY. Community engagement and ethical global health research. Glob Bioeth 2020 Dec 20;31(1):1-12 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1080/11287462.2019.1703504] [Medline: 32002019]
- 73. Strengthening the health system response to COVID-19 Recommendations for the WHO European Region: policy brief, 1 April 2020 (produced by WHO/Europe). World Health Organization. 2020 Apr 01. URL: <a href="https://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-19/publications-and-technical-guidance/health-systems/strengthening-the-health-system-response-to-covid-19/strengthening-the-health-system-response-to-covid-19-policy-brief/strengthening-the-health-system-response-to-covid-19-recommendations-for-the-who-european-region-policy-brief, -1-april-2020-produced-by-whoeurope [accessed 2021-12-01]
- 74. Tashakkori A, Teddlie C. Sage handbook of mixed methods in social & behavioral research (2nd edition). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 2010.
- 75. Dictionary of psychology: Wait-list control group. American Psychological Association. URL: https://dictionary.apa.org/wait-list-control-group [accessed 2021-12-01]
- 76. Roy D, Tripathy S, Kar SK, Sharma N, Verma SK, Kaushal V. Asian J Psychiatr 2020 Jun;51:102083 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.ajp.2020.102083] [Medline: 32283510]
- 77. National Statistical Office. Drinking water, sanitation, hygiene, and housing condition in India. Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation, Government of India. 2018 Dec. URL: http://mospi.nic.in/sites/default/files/NSS7612dws/Report_584_final.pdf [accessed 2021-12-01]
- 78. Biran A, Schmidt W, Varadharajan KS, Rajaraman D, Kumar R, Greenland K, et al. Effect of a behaviour-change intervention on handwashing with soap in India (SuperAmma): a cluster-randomised trial. Lancet Glob Health 2014 Mar;2(3):e145-e154 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/S2214-109X(13)70160-8] [Medline: 25102847]
- 79. Chakrapani V, Kaur M, Tsai AC, Newman PA, Kumar R. The impact of a syndemic theory-based intervention on HIV transmission risk behaviour among men who have sex with men in India: Pretest-posttest non-equivalent comparison group trial. Soc Sci Med 2020 Jan 27:112817. [doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2020.112817] [Medline: 32033868]
- 80. Kitsanapun A, Yamarat K. Evaluating the effectiveness of the "Germ-Free Hands" intervention for improving the hand hygiene practices of public health students. J Multidiscip Healthc 2019;12:533-541. [doi: 10.2147/JMDH.S203825] [Medline: 31371978]
- 81. Rungvachira O, Koontalay A, Praneetham W, Kiatkanon K, Phaktoop M. A study on hand hygiene compliance for education among visitors in medical unit. 2018 Mar 02 Presented at: International Academic Research Conference; March 1-3, 2018; Vienna, Austria p. 250-256. URL: http://www.ijbts-journal.com/images/main-1366796758/39) VI18-1120 Full Paper-Orathai Rungvachira.pdf
- 82. Supanyabut S. Affecting factors and impacted to preventive behavior on the influenza type A (subtype 2009 H1N1) of the population in Namon district, Kalasin province. J Office DPC 6 Khon Kaen 2011;18(2):1-11.
- 83. Why sex and gender need to be considered in COVID-19 research: A guide for applicants and peer reviewers. Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR). 2021 Mar 23. URL: https://cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/51939.html [accessed 2021-12-01]
- 84. Patel VV, Rawat S, Dange A, Lelutiu-Weinberger C, Golub SA. An internet-based, peer-delivered messaging intervention for HIV testing and condom use among men who have sex with men in India (CHALO!): pilot randomized comparative trial. JMIR Public Health Surveill 2020 Apr 16;6(2):e16494 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.2196/16494] [Medline: 32297875]
- 85. Betsch C. How behavioural science data helps mitigate the COVID-19 crisis. Nat Hum Behav 2020 May;4(5):438 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1038/s41562-020-0866-1] [Medline: 32221514]
- 86. Eaton LA, Kalichman SC. Social and behavioral health responses to COVID-19: lessons learned from four decades of an HIV pandemic. J Behav Med 2020 Jun;43(3):341-345 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10865-020-00157-y] [Medline: 32333185]
- 87. Miller W, Rollnick S. Motivational interviewing: Preparing people for change (2nd edition). New York: Guilford Press; Apr 12, 2002.
- 88. Miller WR, Rollnick S. Meeting in the middle: motivational interviewing and self-determination theory. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act 2012 Mar 02;9:25 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1479-5868-9-25] [Medline: 22385872]
- 89. Lukens EP, McFarlane WR. Psychoeducation as evidence-based practice: Considerations for practice, research, and policy. Brief Treat Crisis Interv 2004;4(3):205-225 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1093/brief-treatment/mhh019]
- 90. Donker T, Griffiths KM, Cuijpers P, Christensen H. Psychoeducation for depression, anxiety and psychological distress: a meta-analysis. BMC Med 2009 Dec 16;7:79 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1186/1741-7015-7-79] [Medline: 20015347]
- 91. Frost H, Campbell P, Maxwell M, O'Carroll RE, Dombrowski SU, Williams B, et al. Effectiveness of motivational interviewing on adult behaviour change in health and social care settings: A systematic review of reviews. PLoS One 2018;13(10):e0204890 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0204890] [Medline: 30335780]
- 92. Guse K, Levine D, Martins S, Lira A, Gaarde J, Westmorland W, et al. Interventions using new digital media to improve adolescent sexual health: a systematic review. J Adolesc Health 2012 Dec;51(6):535-543. [doi: 10.1016/j.jadohealth.2012.03.014] [Medline: 23174462]
- 93. Naar-King S, Parsons J, Johnson A. Motivational interviewing targeting risk reduction for people with HIV: a systematic review. Curr HIV/AIDS Rep 2012 Dec;9(4):335-343. [doi: 10.1007/s11904-012-0132-x] [Medline: 22890780]



- 94. Smedslund G, Berg RC, Hammerstrøm KT, Steiro A, Leiknes KA, Dahl HM, et al. Motivational interviewing for substance abuse. Campbell Syst Rev 2011 Apr 08;7(1):1-126. [doi: 10.4073/csr.2011.6]
- 95. Berg RC, Ross MW, Tikkanen R. The effectiveness of MI4MSM: how useful is motivational interviewing as an HIV risk prevention program for men who have sex with men? A systematic review. AIDS Educ Prev 2011 Dec;23(6):533-549. [doi: 10.1521/aeap.2011.23.6.533] [Medline: 22201237]
- 96. Chiasson MA, Shaw FS, Humberstone M, Hirshfield S, Hartel D. Increased HIV disclosure three months after an online video intervention for men who have sex with men (MSM). AIDS Care 2009 Sep;21(9):1081-1089. [doi: 10.1080/09540120902730013] [Medline: 20024766]
- 97. Gorgos L, Marrazzo J. Sexually transmitted infections among women who have sex with women. Clin Infect Dis 2011 Dec;53(Suppl 3):S84-S91. [doi: 10.1093/cid/cir697] [Medline: 22080273]
- 98. Rongkavilit C, Wang B, Naar-King S, Bunupuradah T, Parsons JT, Panthong A, et al. Motivational interviewing targeting risky sex in HIV-positive young Thai men who have sex with men. Arch Sex Behav 2015 Feb;44(2):329-340 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10508-014-0274-6] [Medline: 24668304]
- 99. Bandura A. Social foundations of thought and action: A social cognitive theory. New Jersy: Prentice-Hall; 1986.
- 100. Markland D, Ryan RM, Tobin VJ, Rollnick S. Motivational interviewing and self–determination theory. J Soc Clin Psychol 2005 Sep;24(6):811-831. [doi: 10.1521/jscp.2005.24.6.811]
- 101. Prochaska JO, Velicer WF. The transtheoretical model of health behavior change. Am J Health Promot 1997;12(1):38-48. [doi: 10.4278/0890-1171-12.1.38] [Medline: 10170434]
- 102. Motivational interviewing as a counseling style. In: Enhancing motivation for change in substance abuse treatment: Treatment improvement protocol (TIP) Series 35. Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. 1999. URL: https://sbirt.webs.com/CSAT%20TIP35.pdf [accessed 2021-12-02]
- 103. National Statistical Office (NSO), United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF). Thailand multiple indicator cluster survey 2015-2016, final report. Monitoring the situation of women and children. UNICEF. 2016 Dec. URL: https://www.unicef.org/thailand/sites/unicef.org/thailand/files/2018-06/Thailand_MICS_Full_Report_EN_0.pdf [accessed 2021-12-02]
- 104. DiClemente CC, Corno CM, Graydon MM, Wiprovnick AE, Knoblach DJ. Motivational interviewing, enhancement, and brief interventions over the last decade: A review of reviews of efficacy and effectiveness. Psychol Addict Behav 2017 Dec;31(8):862-887. [doi: 10.1037/adb0000318] [Medline: 29199843]
- 105. Higa DH, Crepaz N, Marshall KJ, Kay L, Vosburgh HW, Spikes P, et al. A systematic review to identify challenges of demonstrating efficacy of HIV behavioral interventions for gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men (MSM). AIDS Behav 2013 May;17(4):1231-1244 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10461-013-0418-z] [Medline: 23397183]
- 106. Herbst JH, Sherba RT, Crepaz N, Deluca JB, Zohrabyan L, Stall RD, HIV/AIDS Prevention Research Synthesis Team. A meta-analytic review of HIV behavioral interventions for reducing sexual risk behavior of men who have sex with men. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2005 Jun 01;39(2):228-241. [Medline: 15905741]
- 107. Steckler A, Linnan L. Process Evaluation for Public Health Interventions and Research: an overview. In: Linnan L, Steckler A, editors. Process Evaluation for Public Health Interventions and Research. Hoboken, NJ: Jossey-Bass; Nov 2002.
- 108. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). COVID-19 coronavirus disease: share facts, not fear. New Mexico Department of Health. URL: https://cv.nmhealth.org/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/share-facts-about-COVID-19-NMDOH.pdf [accessed 2021-12-02]
- 109. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 2021 Nov 29. URL: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention.html [accessed 2021-12-02]
- 110. Azlan AA, Hamzah MR, Sern TJ, Ayub SH, Mohamad E. Public knowledge, attitudes and practices towards COVID-19: A cross-sectional study in Malaysia. PLoS One 2020;15(5):e0233668 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0233668] [Medline: 32437434]
- 111. Al-Hanawi MK, Angawi K, Alshareef N, Qattan AMN, Helmy HZ, Abudawood Y, et al. Knowledge, attitude and practice toward COVID-19 among the public in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. Front Public Health 2020;8:217. [doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.00217] [Medline: 32574300]
- 112. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW. The Patient Health Questionnaire-2: validity of a two-item depression screener. Med Care 2003 Nov;41(11):1284-1292. [doi: 10.1097/01.MLR.0000093487.78664.3C] [Medline: 14583691]
- 113. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Monahan PO, Löwe B. Anxiety disorders in primary care: prevalence, impairment, comorbidity, and detection. Ann Intern Med 2007 Mar 06;146(5):317-325. [doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-146-5-200703060-00004] [Medline: 17339617]
- 114. Hughes ME, Waite LJ, Hawkley LC, Cacioppo JT. A short scale for measuring loneliness in large surveys: results from two population-based studies. Res Aging 2004;26(6):655-672 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/0164027504268574] [Medline: 18504506]
- 115. Taylor S, Landry CA, Paluszek MM, Fergus TA, McKay D, Asmundson GJ. Development and initial validation of the COVID Stress Scales. J Anxiety Disord 2020 May;72:102232 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2020.102232] [Medline: 32408047]



- 116. Earnshaw VA, Bogart LM, Klompas M, Katz IT. Medical mistrust in the context of Ebola: Implications for intended care-seeking and quarantine policy support in the United States. J Health Psychol 2019 Feb;24(2):219-228 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1177/1359105316650507] [Medline: 27257264]
- 117. Benjamins MR, Middleton M. Perceived discrimination in medical settings and perceived quality of care: A population-based study in Chicago. PLoS One 2019;14(4):e0215976 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0215976] [Medline: 31022267]
- 118. Peek ME, Nunez-Smith M, Drum M, Lewis TT. Adapting the everyday discrimination scale to medical settings: reliability and validity testing in a sample of African American patients. Ethn Dis 2011;21(4):502-509 [FREE Full text] [Medline: 22428358]
- 119. Allington D, Duffy B, Wessely S, Dhavan N, Rubin J. Health-protective behaviour, social media usage and conspiracy belief during the COVID-19 public health emergency. Psychol Med 2021 Jul;51(10):1763-1769 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1017/S003329172000224X] [Medline: 32513320]
- 120. Georgiou N, Delfabbro P, Balzan R. COVID-19-related conspiracy beliefs and their relationship with perceived stress and pre-existing conspiracy beliefs. Pers Individ Dif 2020 Nov 01;166:110201 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2020.110201] [Medline: 32565592]
- 121. Shapiro GK, Holding A, Perez S, Amsel R, Rosberger Z. Validation of the vaccine conspiracy beliefs scale. Papillomavirus Res 2016 Dec;2:167-172 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/j.pvr.2016.09.001] [Medline: 29074176]
- 122. Paek H, Hilyard K, Freimuth VS, Barge JK, Mindlin M. Public support for government actions during a flu pandemic: lessons learned from a statewide survey. Health Promot Pract 2008 Oct;9(4 Suppl):60S-72S. [doi: 10.1177/1524839908322114] [Medline: 18936261]
- 123. Bush K, Kivlahan DR, McDonell MB, Fihn SD, Bradley KA. The AUDIT alcohol consumption questions (AUDIT-C): an effective brief screening test for problem drinking. Ambulatory Care Quality Improvement Project (ACQUIP). Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test. Arch Intern Med 1998 Sep 14;158(16):1789-1795. [doi: 10.1001/archinte.158.16.1789] [Medline: 9738608]
- 124. Mandal M, Albert LM. Reproductive empowerment scale: Psychometric validation in Nigeria. MEASURE Evaluation, University of North Carolina. 2020 Jan. URL: https://www.measureevaluation.org/resources/publications/tr-20-393.html [accessed 2021-12-02]
- 125. General Social Survey Victimization (GSS): Detailed information for 2014 (Cycle 28: Canadians' safety). Statistics Canada, Government of Canada. 2016. URL: https://www23.statcan.gc.ca/imdb/p2SV.pl?Function=getSurvey&Id=148641 [accessed 2021-12-02]
- 126. Freeman D, Waite F, Rosebrock L, Petit A, Causier C, East A, et al. Coronavirus conspiracy beliefs, mistrust, and compliance with government guidelines in England. Psychol Med 2020 May 21:1-13. [doi: 10.1017/s0033291720001890]
- 127. Young SL, Boateng GO, Jamaluddine Z, Miller JD, Frongillo EA, Neilands TB, HWISE Research Coordination Network. The Household Water InSecurity Experiences (HWISE) Scale: development and validation of a household water insecurity measure for low-income and middle-income countries. BMJ Glob Health 2019;4(5):e001750 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2019-001750] [Medline: 31637027]
- 128. Johnson DC, Polusny MA, Erbes CR, King D, King L, Litz BT, et al. Development and initial validation of the Response to Stressful Experiences Scale. Mil Med 2011 Feb;176(2):161-169. [doi: 10.7205/milmed-d-10-00258] [Medline: 21366078]
- 129. Wilkerson JM, Noor SW, Galos DL, Rosser BRS. Correlates of a single-item indicator versus a multi-item scale of outness about same-sex attraction. Arch Sex Behav 2016 Jul;45(5):1269-1277 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1007/s10508-015-0605-2] [Medline: 26292840]
- 130. Twisk JWR. Applied longitudinal data analysis for epidemiology: A practical guide. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press; 2015.
- 131. Torgerson D, Torgerson C. Designing randomised trials in health, education and the social sciences: An introduction. London, UK: Palgrave Macmillan; 2008.
- 132. Palinkas LA, Horwitz SM, Chamberlain P, Hurlburt MS, Landsverk J. Mixed-methods designs in mental health services research: a review. Psychiatr Serv 2011 Mar;62(3):255-263. [doi: 10.1176/ps.62.3.pss6203_0255] [Medline: 21363896]
- 133. Teddlie C, Yu F. Mixed Methods Sampling. J Mixed Meth Res 2017 Jun 12;1(1):77-100. [doi: 10.1177/1558689806292430]
- 134. Newman PA, Guta A, Black T. Ethical considerations for qualitative research methods during the COVID-19 pandemic and other emergency situations: navigating the virtual field. Int J Qual Methods 2021 Sep 27;20:160940692110478. [doi: 10.1177/16094069211047823]
- 135. Ritchie J, Spencer L. Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research. In: Bryman A, Burgess RG, editors. Analyzing qualitative data. New York: Routledge; 2004:173-194.
- 136. Guest G, MacQueen KM, Namey EE. Applied thematic analysis. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage; 2012.
- 137. Kemp S. Digital 2020: Thailand. Datareportal. 2020 Feb 18. URL: https://datareportal.com/reports/digital-2020-thailand [accessed 2021-12-02]
- 138. Birnholtz J, Rawat S, Vashista R, Baruah D, Dange A, Boyer A. Layers of marginality: an exploration of visibility, impressions, and cultural context on geospatial apps for men who have sex with men in Mumbai, India. Social Med Soc 2020 Apr 02;6(2):205630512091399. [doi: 10.1177/2056305120913995]



- 139. Telecom Regulatory Authority of India. The Indian telecom services performance indicator report July-September, 2019. National Informatics Centre, Government of India. 2019 Jan 08. URL: https://www.trai.gov.in/sites/default/files/PIR_08012020_0.pdf [accessed 2021-12-02]
- 140. Top countries by smartphone users. Newzoo. 2021. URL: https://newzoo.com/insights/rankings/top-countries-by-smartphone-penetration-and-users/ [accessed 2021-12-02]
- 141. Dorn AV, Cooney RE, Sabin ML. COVID-19 exacerbating inequalities in the US. Lancet 2020 Apr 18;395(10232):1243-1244 [FREE Full text] [doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30893-X] [Medline: 32305087]
- 142. Connected women: The mobile gender gap report 2020. Global System for Mobile Communications (GSMA). 2020 Mar. URL: https://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/GSMA-The-Mobile-Gender-Gap-Report-2020.pdf [accessed 2021-12-02]

Abbreviations

CBO: community-based organization **GEE:** generalized estimating equations

IoT: Internet of Things

LGBT: lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender

LGBT+: LGBT and other persons outside of heteronormative and cisgender identities

LMIC: low- and middle-income country

MI: motivational interviewing MSM: men who have sex with men PHQ-2: Patient Health Questionnaire-2 RCT: randomized controlled trial SDOH: social determinants of health

UNAIDS: Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS

WHO: World Health Organization

Edited by T Derrick; This paper was externally peer reviewed by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) - COVID-19 Rapid Research - Social Policy and Public Health Responses Committee. See the Multimedia Appendix for the peer-review report; Submitted 20.10.21; accepted 25.10.21; published 10.12.21.

Please cite as:

Newman PA, Chakrapani V, Williams C, Massaquoi N, Tepjan S, Roungprakhon S, Akkakanjanasupar P, Logie C, Rawat S An eHealth Intervention for Promoting COVID-19 Knowledge and Protective Behaviors and Reducing Pandemic Distress Among Sexual and Gender Minorities: Protocol for a Randomized Controlled Trial (#SafeHandsSafeHearts)

JMIR Res Protoc 2021;10(12):e34381

URL: https://www.researchprotocols.org/2021/12/e34381

doi: <u>10.2196/34381</u> PMID: <u>34726610</u>

©Peter A Newman, Venkatesan Chakrapani, Charmaine Williams, Notisha Massaquoi, Suchon Tepjan, Surachet Roungprakhon, Pakorn Akkakanjanasupar, Carmen Logie, Shruta Rawat. Originally published in JMIR Research Protocols (https://www.researchprotocols.org), 10.12.2021. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work, first published in JMIR Research Protocols, is properly cited. The complete bibliographic information, a link to the original publication on https://www.researchprotocols.org, as well as this copyright and license information must be included.

